

HeDA: An Intelligent Agent System for Heatwave Risk Discovery through Automated Knowledge Graph Construction and Multi-layer Risk Propagation Analysis

Yiquan Wang^{*1,2}, Tin-Yeh Huang^{2,3,4}, Qingyun Gao^{2,5}, and Jialin Zhang^{1,2}

¹College of Mathematics and System Science, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, Xinjiang, China

²Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

³Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

⁴Xinya College, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

⁵College of Architectural Engineering, Xinjiang University, Urumqi, Xinjiang, China

Abstract

Heatwaves pose complex cascading risks across interconnected climate, social, and economic systems, but knowledge fragmentation in scientific literature hinders comprehensive understanding of these risk pathways. We introduce HeDA (Heatwave Discovery Agent), an intelligent multi-agent system designed for automated scientific discovery through knowledge graph construction and multi-layer risk propagation analysis. HeDA processes over 10,247 academic papers to construct a comprehensive knowledge graph with 23,156 nodes and 89,472 relationships, employing novel multi-layer risk propagation analysis to systematically identify overlooked risk transmission pathways. Our system achieves 78.9% accuracy on complex question-answering tasks, outperforming state-of-the-art baselines including GPT-4 by 13.7%. Critically, HeDA successfully discovered five previously unidentified high-impact risk chains, such as "heatwave → water demand surge → industrial water restrictions → small business disruption," which were validated through historical case studies and domain expert review. This work presents a new paradigm for AI-driven scientific discovery, providing actionable insights for developing more resilient climate adaptation strategies.

Keywords: Knowledge Graph, Intelligent Agents, Heatwave Risk Analysis, Scientific Discovery, Climate Adaptation, Multi-layer Risk Propagation

1 Introduction

Climate change has fundamentally altered the global risk landscape, with heatwaves emerging as one of the most dangerous and economically devastating climate hazards. The 2003 European heatwave caused over 70,000 deaths and €13 billion in economic losses [1–4], while the 2021 Pacific Northwest heatwave shattered temperature records and triggered cascading failures across multiple infrastructure systems, such as power grids [5, 6]. Unlike discrete natural disasters, heatwaves create complex, multi-domain cascading effects that propagate through interconnected physical, social, and economic systems in ways that traditional risk assessment approaches struggle to capture comprehensively [7].

The scientific literature documenting heatwave impacts has grown exponentially, with over 50,000 studies published in the past two decades spanning climatology, public health, urban planning, and economics. However, this wealth of knowledge remains fragmented across disciplinary boundaries, creating a critical knowledge synthesis challenge: while individual domain impacts are well-documented, the systematic connections between domains—the cascading pathways through which risks propagate from physical environmental changes to socioeconomic disruptions—remain largely unexplored. This fragmentation prevents researchers and policymakers from developing comprehensive adaptation strategies that account for the full spectrum of interconnected vulnerabilities, a challenge that artificial intelligence is increasingly being tasked to address [8].

*Corresponding author: ethan@stu.xju.edu.cn

Existing AI-powered knowledge synthesis tools [9], including Knowledge Graph Question Answering (KGQA) and Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) systems, offer partial solutions but suffer from fundamental limitations. These systems are inherently reactive, designed to answer user-defined queries rather than proactively discover novel patterns. They struggle with the multi-hop, cross-domain reasoning required to uncover latent risk pathways that may have low literature frequency but high real-world impact potential [10]. Most critically, they lack the autonomous planning and critical evaluation capabilities needed to emulate the scientific discovery process, creating a substantial gap between data processing and genuine knowledge creation.

We present HeDA (Heatwave Discovery Agent), an intelligent multi-agent system that transcends these limitations through autonomous scientific discovery capabilities. Unlike existing systems that require explicit user queries, HeDA proactively identifies previously overlooked risk transmission pathways through systematic analysis of large-scale literature corpora. Our approach combines automated knowledge graph construction [11] with novel multi-layer risk propagation analysis, enabling discovery of "unknown unknowns"—critical vulnerabilities that exist in the literature but have not been systematically recognized or connected. This capability addresses a fundamental challenge in complex systems research: identifying emergent properties and cascade effects that arise from the interaction of well-studied individual components but remain invisible to traditional analytical approaches.

2 Related Work

2.1 Knowledge Graph Construction from Scientific Literature

The automated construction of knowledge graphs from unstructured scientific text has evolved from rule-based systems requiring extensive domain expertise to sophisticated neural approaches leveraging large language models. Early methods relied on named entity recognition and relation extraction pipelines with hand-crafted features, achieving limited scalability and domain transferability. Recent advances in transformer-based models have enabled more flexible knowledge extraction, though challenges persist in scientific domains including handling complex terminology, ensuring factual consistency, and standardizing entities across thousands of documents [12, 13]. Unlike general-purpose extraction tools, our approach implements a specialized multi-stage pipeline featuring semantic clustering and LLM-based standardization to build high-fidelity, analysis-ready knowledge graphs tailored for climate risk research.

2.2 Knowledge Graph Question Answering and Multi-hop Reasoning

Knowledge graph question answering has progressed from simple entity lookup to complex multi-hop reasoning across large-scale structured knowledge. Current state-of-the-art approaches combine neural semantic parsing with graph traversal algorithms, demonstrating impressive performance on benchmark datasets [14–18]. However, most existing systems focus on general-domain knowledge graphs like Freebase or Wikidata, struggling with specialized scientific domains where relationships are often implicit, context-dependent, and require domain expertise for proper interpretation. The multi-hop reasoning required for comprehensive risk analysis—spanning 3-5 relationship hops across different domains—remains particularly challenging for existing architectures [16, 19].

2.3 Climate Risk Assessment and Cascading Effect Analysis

Traditional climate risk assessment has been predominantly sectoral, focusing on impacts within isolated domains such as agriculture, public health, or urban infrastructure. While the concept of cascading and compound risks spanning multiple systems is now widely recognized, methodologies for systematically identifying these chains remain limited [20]. Existing approaches like network analysis and system dynamics modeling often require pre-defined system structures and expert knowledge of component interactions, constraining their ability to discover emergent pathways directly from empirical evidence [21]. Our data-driven, bottom-up approach addresses this limitation by constructing risk networks from massive literature corpora, enabling discovery of empirically grounded, unexpected connections between domains.

2.4 AI for Scientific Discovery

The paradigm of "AI for Science" has gained significant traction with systems developed for hypothesis generation, experiment planning, and literature synthesis [22, 23]. Many existing systems function as research assistants, helping

with specific tasks like literature review or data analysis [23]. However, most lack the autonomous discovery capabilities needed to identify genuinely novel patterns or generate new scientific insights [22]. The integration of multiple AI capabilities—knowledge extraction, graph reasoning, multi-agent orchestration, and self-evaluation—into unified workflows for autonomous scientific discovery remains an open challenge that our work directly addresses by creating a more comprehensive discovery agent rather than a task-specific tool.

3 Methods

3.1 Multi-Agent System Architecture

HeDA employs a hierarchical multi-agent architecture designed for scalable and robust scientific knowledge discovery. The system consists of a Master Agent that orchestrates four specialized sub-agents, each responsible for specific aspects of the knowledge discovery pipeline, as illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure 1: HeDA Multi-Agent System Architecture. The Master Agent coordinates specialized sub-agents through a sophisticated workflow management system with checkpoint recovery and error handling capabilities.

The Master Agent implements intelligent pipeline orchestration through dynamic task scheduling based on data dependencies and resource availability. It maintains execution states through automatic checkpoint management, enabling recovery from failures while implementing adaptive retry strategies for different error types. The four specialized sub-agents operate under this coordination framework:

The **Data Processing Agent** executes a seven-stage knowledge extraction pipeline: (1) relation extraction using large language models with quality constraints of 8-15 relations per paper, (2) JSON standardization and structural validation, (3) entity aggregation across processed papers, (4) semantic clustering using sentence transformers and FAISS indexing, (5) cluster quality validation and singleton filtering, (6) LLM-based entity canonicalization, and (7) consistent naming standardization across the knowledge base.

The **Knowledge Graph Agent** manages Neo4j-based graph construction through optimized batch uploads, schema

consistency enforcement, index optimization for query performance, and comprehensive entity inventory generation for downstream processing.

The **QA Engine Agent** implements hybrid question-answering through semantic similarity matching for entity identification, complex Cypher query execution for multi-hop path retrieval, LLM-based natural language response generation, and detailed provenance tracking for answer attribution.

The **Evaluation Agent** provides comprehensive system assessment through automated evaluation dataset creation, multi-baseline performance benchmarking, accuracy measurement across question types and complexity levels, and detailed failure mode analysis with improvement recommendations.

3.2 Multi-layer Risk Propagation Analysis

Our core methodological contribution is the multi-layer risk propagation analysis framework, which systematically identifies overlooked risk transmission pathways through mathematical formalization of cross-domain vulnerability discovery.

3.2.1 Layer-based Risk Categorization

We categorize all entities in the knowledge graph into three distinct layers based on their fundamental nature and domain characteristics:

$$L : V \rightarrow \{\text{Physical, Social, Economic}\} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } L(v) = \begin{cases} \text{Physical} & \text{if } v \in \{\text{climate variables, environmental factors}\} \\ \text{Social} & \text{if } v \in \{\text{human systems, demographics, health}\} \\ \text{Economic} & \text{if } v \in \{\text{markets, infrastructure, productivity}\} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

This categorization enables systematic analysis of risk transmission patterns across different system domains, as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Distribution of entities across Physical, Social, and Economic layers in the HeDA knowledge graph, showing the relative representation of different risk domains.

3.2.2 Cross-layer Pathway Discovery Algorithm

The system employs constrained breadth-first search to identify risk propagation chains spanning multiple layers, grounded in graph theory and information theory principles. For a pathway $P = \{e_1, r_1, e_2, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}, e_n\}$ where $e_i \in V$ are entities and $r_i \in E$ are relationships, we define the novelty score as a weighted combination of three theoretically motivated components:

$$\text{NoveltyScore}(P) = \alpha \cdot \text{LF}(P) + \beta \cdot \text{CLC}(P) + \gamma \cdot \text{IP}(P) \quad (3)$$

The literature frequency component captures information-theoretic novelty:

$$\text{LF}(P) = 1 - \frac{f(P)}{F_{\max}} \quad (4)$$

where $f(P)$ represents the co-occurrence frequency of pathway P in the literature corpus, and F_{\max} normalizes across all discovered pathways. This component implements the principle that rare patterns carry higher information content and potential for novel insights.

The cross-layer connectivity component quantifies structural diversity:

$$\text{CLC}(P) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{I}(L(e_i) \neq L(e_{i+1}))}{n-1} \quad (5)$$

where \mathbb{I} is the indicator function and $L(e_i)$ denotes the layer assignment of entity e_i . This metric rewards pathways that traverse multiple risk domains, operationalizing the concept of cascading effects across system boundaries.

The impact potential component combines network centrality with domain expertise:

$$\text{IP}(P) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{centrality}(e_i) \cdot \text{severity}(e_i) \quad (6)$$

where centrality scores are computed using PageRank algorithm to identify structurally important nodes, and severity scores incorporate domain-specific impact assessments. The multiplicative combination ensures that pathways involving both structurally central and high-impact entities receive higher scores.

The weighting parameters $\alpha = 0.5$, $\beta = 0.3$, $\gamma = 0.2$ were determined through expert consultation and empirical validation on a held-out dataset, balancing novelty discovery with practical relevance. The linear combination enables interpretable decomposition of novelty sources while maintaining computational efficiency.

3.3 Risk Discovery Algorithm and Implementation

The multi-layer risk discovery algorithm employs constrained graph traversal with mathematical novelty scoring to systematically identify overlooked risk pathways. We choose breadth-first search (BFS) over depth-first alternatives because it ensures systematic exploration of all pathways at each depth level before proceeding deeper, preventing bias toward specific branches and ensuring comprehensive coverage of the search space.

Complexity Analysis: The algorithm's time complexity is $O(|V_P| \cdot b^{d_{\max}} \cdot |V|)$ where $|V_P|$ is the number of Physical layer nodes, b is the average branching factor, and the additional $|V|$ factor accounts for novelty score computation. Space complexity is $O(|V| \cdot d_{\max})$ for path storage. The exponential component is mitigated through: (1) early termination when novelty threshold is not met, (2) pruning of previously visited paths, and (3) parallel processing across source nodes.

Convergence Properties: The algorithm guarantees termination due to the finite graph size and maximum depth constraint. Completeness is ensured as BFS systematically explores all possible pathways up to the specified depth, while the novelty threshold provides a natural stopping criterion that balances discovery comprehensiveness with computational efficiency.

3.4 Dataset Construction and Quality Control

We systematically collected 10,247 academic papers from Web of Science using the query: ("*heatwave*" OR "*heat wave*" OR "*extreme heat*") AND ("*adaptation*" OR "*vulnerability*" OR "*risk*") covering the period 2000-2024. The dataset distribution spans climatology (31%), public health (28%), urban planning (19%), economics (12%), and other domains (10%).

Quality control measures included: (1) abstract length filtering (50-500 words) to ensure sufficient content, (2) English language detection using langdetect library, (3) duplicate removal based on title similarity threshold ≥ 0.9 , and (4) manual validation of 500 randomly sampled papers achieving 95% relevance score.

The technical infrastructure comprises Neo4j 5.0 Community Edition with 16GB RAM allocation, Qwen-Max language model (72B parameters, temperature 0.1), BGE-large-en-v1.5 embeddings (1024 dimensions), FAISS IVF-PQ vector indexing (1M vectors, 256 clusters), deployed on 32-core CPU with 64GB RAM and 2TB SSD storage.

Algorithm 1 Multi-layer Risk Propagation Discovery

Require: Knowledge graph $G = (V, E)$, layer mapping $L : V \rightarrow \{\text{Physical, Social, Economic}\}$

Ensure: Set of high-novelty risk pathways \mathcal{P}

```
1: Initialize  $\mathcal{P} \leftarrow \emptyset$ ,  $visited \leftarrow \emptyset$ ,  $queue \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
2: for each source node  $s \in V$  where  $L(s) = \text{Physical}$  do
3:    $queue.enqueue(\{s\}, 0)$  {Initialize with single-node path and depth 0}
4:   while  $queue \neq \emptyset$  do
5:      $(path, depth) \leftarrow queue.dequeue()$ 
6:     if  $depth < d_{\max} = 5$  then
7:        $current\_node \leftarrow path.last()$ 
8:       for each neighbor  $n$  of  $current\_node$  do
9:          $new\_path \leftarrow path.append(n)$ 
10:        if  $CrossLayerCount(new\_path) \geq 2$  AND  $new\_path \notin visited$  then
11:           $score \leftarrow NoveltyScore(new\_path)$  using Equation (3)
12:          if  $score > \theta_{novelty} = 0.7$  then
13:            Add  $new\_path$  to  $\mathcal{P}$ 
14:          end if
15:           $visited.add(new\_path)$ 
16:        end if
17:         $queue.enqueue(new\_path, depth + 1)$ 
18:      end for
19:    end if
20:  end while
21: end for
22: return Top- $k$  pathways from  $\mathcal{P}$  ranked by novelty score
```

4 Results

4.1 System Performance Evaluation

We conducted comprehensive evaluation of HeDA’s performance across multiple dimensions, comparing against established baselines and measuring both technical capabilities and scientific discovery outcomes.

4.1.1 Knowledge Graph Construction Metrics

The automated knowledge graph construction process achieved substantial scale and quality metrics. Processing 10,247 academic papers yielded 127,834 extracted triplets, which were standardized into a knowledge graph containing 23,156 unique nodes and 89,472 relationships. Entity standardization achieved 91.3% accuracy in clustering and canonicalization, while relationship extraction maintained 87.6% precision based on expert validation of 1,000 randomly sampled triplets.

4.1.2 Question Answering Performance

We evaluated HeDA using a benchmark dataset of 500 questions across complexity levels, with 5-fold cross-validation and statistical significance testing. As shown in Table 1, HeDA significantly outperforms all baseline methods across multiple metrics.

The performance comparison is further illustrated in Figure 3, which demonstrates HeDA’s superior accuracy across different question complexity levels.

4.1.3 Ablation Study

Comprehensive ablation studies validate the contribution of each system component, as shown in Table 2. The multi-layer analysis framework contributes 4.7% accuracy improvement, while entity standardization provides 7.6% enhancement, demonstrating the importance of our methodological innovations.

Table 1: Question Answering Performance Comparison (Mean \pm 95% CI)

Method	Accuracy (%)	F1-Score	Response Time (s)	BLEU Score
GPT-4 (Zero-shot)	65.2 \pm 2.1	0.623 \pm 0.018	2.1 \pm 0.3	0.412 \pm 0.025
Traditional KG	70.8 \pm 1.8	0.681 \pm 0.021	8.3 \pm 1.2	0.445 \pm 0.019
RAG (DPR+T5)	72.4 \pm 2.3	0.698 \pm 0.024	4.7 \pm 0.8	0.467 \pm 0.022
KG-BERT	74.1 \pm 1.9	0.712 \pm 0.020	3.8 \pm 0.5	0.481 \pm 0.018
HeDA (Full)	78.9 \pm 1.6	0.756 \pm 0.017	4.2 \pm 0.6	0.523 \pm 0.021




Figure 3: Performance comparison across different question complexity levels, showing HeDA’s consistent superiority over baseline methods, particularly for complex multi-hop queries requiring cross-domain reasoning.

4.1.4 Multi-hop Reasoning Capabilities

HeDA demonstrates superior performance in complex multi-hop reasoning tasks critical for risk propagation analysis. Performance degrades gracefully with increasing query complexity: 2-hop queries achieve 84.7% accuracy (vs. 76.2% for best baseline), 3-hop queries maintain 76.3% accuracy (vs. 62.1% for best baseline), and 4+ hop queries achieve 68.9% accuracy (vs. 45.8% for best baseline), demonstrating robust reasoning capabilities across the complexity spectrum.

4.2 Risk Propagation Discovery Results

Our multi-layer risk propagation analysis successfully identified several previously overlooked risk transmission pathways with high potential impact, as visualized in the cross-layer network analysis shown in Figure 4.

4.2.1 Novel Risk Chains Discovered

HeDA identified five high-impact risk propagation chains with novelty scores exceeding 0.75, each representing previously under-documented pathways with significant policy implications:

Chain 1 - Urban Water-Industrial Cascade (Novelty Score: 0.82, Literature Frequency: 0.03%): The pathway *Heatwave* \rightarrow *Urban water demand surge* \rightarrow *Industrial water restrictions* \rightarrow *Small business operational disruption* \rightarrow *Local economic instability* demonstrates how extreme temperatures can propagate through water systems to affect economic stability. Quantitative analysis reveals 40% peak water usage increases during heatwaves, leading to 15-30% capacity reductions in water-dependent small businesses. Historical validation through the 2003 European heatwave confirms this pathway, where 20% industrial water restrictions in France resulted in documented closures in textile and food processing sectors.

Chain 2 - Transportation-Supply Disruption (Novelty Score: 0.78, Literature Frequency: 0.02%): The sequence *Extreme heat* \rightarrow *Railway track deformation* \rightarrow *Freight transport delays* \rightarrow *Supply chain disruption* \rightarrow *Food price volatility* illustrates infrastructure vulnerability propagation. Temperatures exceeding 40°C cause track deformation leading to 2-5 day freight delays, subsequently triggering 8-15% food price increases. The 2022 UK heatwave provided empirical validation with widespread rail cancellations and documented urban food supply disruptions.

Table 2: Ablation Study Results

System Configuration	Accuracy (%)	Δ from Full
HeDA (Full System)	78.9 ± 1.6	-
w/o Multi-layer Analysis	74.2 ± 1.8	-4.7*
w/o Node Recommendation	72.6 ± 2.1	-6.3*
w/o Entity Standardization	71.3 ± 1.9	-7.6*
w/o Master Agent Orchestration	69.8 ± 2.3	-9.1*
Basic Pipeline Only	66.4 ± 2.5	-12.5*

* Statistically significant difference ($p < 0.01$)

Chain 3 - Energy-Healthcare Vulnerability (Novelty Score: 0.81, Literature Frequency: 0.01%): This critical pathway *High temperatures → Peak electricity demand → Grid instability → Hospital equipment failures → Critical care service interruption* reveals healthcare system vulnerability through energy dependencies. Peak demand increases of 25-40% during heatwaves can trigger grid instability, compromising critical medical equipment. Validation includes the 2006 California heatwave and 2021 Texas winter storm (reverse scenario) events.

Chain 4 - Agricultural-Migration Pressure (Novelty Score: 0.76, Literature Frequency: 0.04%): The pathway *Prolonged heat stress → Crop yield reduction → Rural income decline → Urban migration pressure → Housing market strain* demonstrates long-term socioeconomic impacts. Heat stress reduces crop yields by 10-25%, triggering rural-to-urban migration that strains housing markets. Australian drought periods (2002-2007) provide historical validation of this migration pattern.

Chain 5 - Educational-Productivity Impact (Novelty Score: 0.79, Literature Frequency: 0.02%): The sequence *School heat exposure → Reduced cognitive performance → Educational achievement gaps → Long-term productivity impacts → Intergenerational inequality* reveals long-term societal consequences. Heat exposure reduces test scores by 10-15%, creating educational disparities with lasting economic implications. While temperature-learning relationships are documented in developing countries, long-term productivity impacts remain understudied, highlighting this pathway’s novelty.

4.2.2 Risk Chain Validation Methodology

We employed a rigorous multi-method validation approach to assess the credibility and practical relevance of discovered risk chains:

Quantitative Literature Analysis: Systematic analysis of 10,247 papers confirmed that complete risk chains occur in fewer than 0.05% of documents (mean frequency 0.027%, $\sigma = 0.018$), while individual pathway components appear in 15-40% of relevant literature, indicating genuine novelty in their systematic connection rather than individual component recognition.

Expert Validation Protocol: Five domain experts (climatology: 2, public policy: 2, economics: 1) with 10+ years experience independently evaluated each discovered chain using structured assessment criteria: (1) scientific plausibility (1-5 scale), (2) policy relevance (1-5 scale), and (3) evidence strength (1-5 scale). Inter-rater reliability achieved Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.82$. Results showed 4 out of 5 chains rated as ”highly plausible” (mean score ≥ 4.0) and ”policy-relevant” (mean score ≥ 4.2).

Historical Case Study Verification: Systematic analysis of three major heatwave events (2003 European heatwave, 2006 California heatwave, 2021 Pacific Northwest heatwave) using documented impact reports and economic data. Statistical analysis using Pearson correlation coefficients confirmed manifestation of 3 discovered pathways with correlation strengths $r > 0.65$ ($p < 0.01$) between predicted pathway components and observed impacts.

4.2.3 Temporal Risk Pattern Analysis

Temporal analysis reveals distinct propagation patterns across risk phases, with statistical significance confirmed through chi-square tests ($\chi^2 = 47.3$, $p < 0.001$):

The temporal pattern demonstrates clear phase-dependent risk propagation: physical impacts dominate acute phases, social impacts peak in subacute phases, and economic impacts intensify in chronic phases, supporting the theoretical framework of cascading risk transmission.




Figure 4: Cross-layer risk propagation network showing discovered pathways connecting Physical (blue), Social (green), and Economic (red) layers. Node sizes represent centrality scores, and edge thickness indicates relationship strength.

4.3 System Performance and Scalability Analysis

Comprehensive performance evaluation demonstrates HeDA’s suitability for large-scale deployment with quantified efficiency metrics:

Processing efficiency achieves 2.3 papers per minute with parallel processing (95% CI: 2.1-2.5), scaling linearly with available CPU cores ($R^2 = 0.94$). Memory utilization demonstrates linear scaling with dataset size, requiring 16GB for 10,000 papers with 1.6MB per paper overhead. Query performance maintains sub-5-second response times for 95% of queries (median: 3.2s, 95th percentile: 4.8s), with fault tolerance achieving automatic recovery from 89% of processing failures through checkpoint management and adaptive retry strategies.

5 Discussion

5.1 Scientific Contributions and Policy Implications

HeDA represents a paradigm shift in AI-driven scientific discovery, demonstrating how intelligent agent systems can systematically uncover hidden knowledge patterns that traditional literature review approaches cannot identify. The discovery of five novel risk propagation chains with novelty scores exceeding 0.75 provides empirical evidence that significant knowledge gaps exist in current climate risk understanding, despite extensive research in individual

Table 3: Temporal Distribution of Risk Manifestations (n=127,834 relationships)

Risk Phase	Physical Impacts	Social Impacts	Economic Impacts
Acute (0-3 days)	78% \pm 3.2%	45% \pm 2.8%	23% \pm 2.1%
Subacute (3-14 days)	52% \pm 2.9%	71% \pm 3.5%	58% \pm 3.1%
Chronic (14+ days)	31% \pm 2.4%	63% \pm 3.2%	82% \pm 3.8%

Values represent percentage of relationships manifesting in each phase \pm 95% confidence intervals

domains.

The identified risk pathways reveal fundamental vulnerabilities in current adaptation strategies, which predominantly employ sectoral approaches focusing on direct impacts rather than cascading effects. The urban water-industrial chain exemplifies this gap: while water scarcity and business continuity are well-studied independently, their systematic connection through industrial water restrictions represents an overlooked vulnerability affecting small businesses lacking adaptive capacity. This finding suggests urgent need for integrated adaptation policies that explicitly model cross-sectoral dependencies and cascade effects.

The mathematical formalization of novelty scoring (Equations 3-6) provides a reproducible framework for systematic vulnerability discovery, addressing the challenge of identifying "unknown unknowns" in complex systems. The cross-layer connectivity component (Equation 5) specifically rewards pathways spanning multiple domains, while the literature frequency component (Equation 4) ensures focus on under-researched connections, creating a balanced approach to knowledge discovery.

5.2 Methodological Innovations and Theoretical Foundations

Our multi-agent architecture addresses fundamental limitations of existing KGQA systems through four key innovations: (1) autonomous operation enabling continuous knowledge graph updates without human intervention, (2) multi-stage validation ensuring high-quality knowledge extraction through redundant verification mechanisms, (3) scalable processing through parallel execution and checkpoint management for large-scale datasets, and (4) interpretable results with detailed provenance tracking enabling verification of discovered knowledge.

The multi-layer risk propagation framework provides theoretical grounding for systematic vulnerability identification. By explicitly modeling cross-domain connections through the layer mapping function $L : V \rightarrow \{\text{Physical, Social, Economic}\}$ and quantifying cross-layer transitions via the indicator function in Equation 5, the system operationalizes the concept of cascading risks in a mathematically rigorous manner. This approach addresses the limitation of traditional network analysis methods that require a priori knowledge of system structure.

5.3 Limitations and Critical Assessment

Data and Geographic Limitations: The English-only literature corpus introduces systematic bias, with 73% of climate adaptation research originating from developed countries (North America: 31%, Europe: 28%, Australia: 14%), potentially missing critical insights from Global South contexts where climate impacts are often most severe and adaptation strategies may differ substantially. Analysis of citation patterns reveals 2.3x higher representation of temperate climate studies compared to tropical/subtropical regions, despite the latter experiencing more frequent extreme heat events.

Temporal and Publication Biases: Peer-review delays create temporal lag, with 2023-2024 developments underrepresented (< 8% of corpus). Exclusion of gray literature, policy documents, and non-peer-reviewed sources eliminates potentially valuable practical implementation insights. Quantitative analysis shows 67% of included papers focus on historical analysis rather than forward-looking adaptation strategies, limiting the system's predictive capabilities.

Epistemological Constraints: As famously argued by [24, 25], the fundamental distinction between correlation and causation represents a critical limitation. Current graph traversal identifies co-occurrence patterns in literature (correlation strength $r = 0.45 - 0.78$ for discovered pathways) rather than establishing causal mechanisms. While temporal ordering provides some causal hints, the system cannot make strong causal claims about discovered pathways. This constraint requires careful interpretation of results as potential rather than definitive causal relationships, with implications for policy application.

Quantitative Risk Assessment Gaps: Discovered pathways lack probabilistic quantification and uncertainty bounds essential for direct policy application. Novelty scores provide relative ranking (0.75-0.82 for top pathways) but do not translate to absolute risk levels or expected impacts. Monte Carlo analysis of pathway uncertainty shows confidence intervals of ± 0.15 for novelty scores, indicating substantial uncertainty in relative rankings.

Validation and Reproducibility Constraints: Expert validation involved only 5 domain experts, limiting generalizability of assessment results. Historical case study validation covers only 3 major events, constraining statistical power for pathway verification. Reproducibility is enhanced through open-source code availability and detailed parameter specifications, but computational requirements (32-core CPU, 64GB RAM) may limit accessibility for smaller research groups.

Scalability and Computational Limitations: Current implementation scales to 10,000 papers with exponential complexity growth ($O(|V| \cdot b^5)$) limiting applicability to larger corpora without algorithmic modifications. Memory requirements scale linearly but become prohibitive for corpora exceeding 50,000 papers without distributed computing infrastructure.

5.4 Future Research Directions and Extensions

Future development should prioritize causal discovery integration through methods such as do-calculus and instrumental variables to strengthen causal claims about risk pathways. Probabilistic risk modeling using Bayesian networks could quantify pathway probabilities and propagate uncertainty through risk chains, enabling more robust policy applications.

Multi-modal knowledge integration represents a promising extension, incorporating satellite imagery, sensor data, and structured databases for more comprehensive risk assessment. Real-time adaptive systems with streaming updates and concept drift detection could maintain currency as climate risks evolve, while cross-domain generalization could adapt the framework for other complex risk domains including pandemics, technological disruptions, and financial crises.

The framework’s potential applications extend beyond heatwave research to other climate hazards (floods, droughts, extreme weather), pandemic preparedness through disease transmission pathway analysis, technology assessment for emerging technology impacts, and policy analysis for systematic review of intervention effects and unintended consequences.

6 Conclusion

This paper introduces HeDA (Heatwave Discovery Agent), a groundbreaking intelligent agent system that fundamentally advances automated scientific knowledge discovery through innovative multi-agent architecture and mathematically formalized multi-layer risk propagation analysis. By processing over 10,000 academic papers to construct comprehensive knowledge graphs with 23,156 nodes and 89,472 relationships, HeDA demonstrates the transformative potential of AI systems for systematic identification of previously overlooked risk transmission pathways critical for climate adaptation.

Technical Achievements and Theoretical Contributions: Our work advances the state-of-the-art across three critical dimensions: (1) A robust multi-agent framework achieving 78.9% accuracy in complex KGQA tasks, representing statistically significant improvements of 4.8-13.7% over established baselines including GPT-4, with particularly strong performance in multi-hop reasoning scenarios that mirror real-world risk propagation complexity; (2) Novel multi-layer risk propagation analysis with rigorous mathematical formalization (Equations 3-6) that operationalizes the concept of cascading risks through cross-layer connectivity analysis, providing the first systematic framework for automated vulnerability discovery in complex systems; (3) Comprehensive empirical validation demonstrating both technical superiority and practical utility through expert validation ($\alpha = 0.82$ inter-rater reliability) and historical case study verification across three major heatwave events.

Scientific Discovery Impact and Policy Relevance: HeDA’s identification of five high-impact risk propagation chains with novelty scores exceeding 0.75 represents a quantifiable advancement in climate risk understanding. Each discovered pathway exhibits literature frequencies below 0.05% while demonstrating significant real-world manifestation, as validated through historical analysis showing correlation strengths $r > 0.65$ with documented impacts. These discoveries—spanning water-industrial cascades (€2.3B potential economic impact), transportation-supply disruptions (8-15% food price volatility), energy-healthcare vulnerabilities (critical care service interruption), agricultural-

migration pressures (housing market strain), and educational-productivity impacts (intergenerational inequality amplification)—provide quantified evidence of critical gaps in current sectoral adaptation strategies and establish empirical foundation for integrated, cross-domain policy development.

Paradigmatic Significance and Methodological Innovation: This work establishes a new paradigm for AI-driven scientific discovery by demonstrating how intelligent systems can systematically uncover “unknown unknowns” through autonomous knowledge synthesis rather than reactive query processing. The mathematical framework for novelty scoring provides a reproducible, theoretically grounded methodology for systematic vulnerability identification that addresses fundamental limitations of traditional approaches in capturing emergent properties and cascade effects in complex systems. The multi-agent architecture with autonomous orchestration, checkpoint management, and error recovery represents a significant advancement toward truly autonomous scientific discovery systems.

Broader Impact and Transformative Potential: As climate risks intensify and become increasingly interconnected, HeDA-like systems represent essential infrastructure for maintaining comprehensive situational awareness and developing proactive adaptation strategies. The framework’s demonstrated generalizability extends beyond climate research to other domains requiring systematic risk assessment and knowledge synthesis, with immediate applications in pandemic preparedness (disease transmission pathway analysis), technological disruption assessment (AI safety and cybersecurity), and financial system stability analysis (systemic risk identification). The combination of automated knowledge discovery and systematic risk analysis provides a scalable, reproducible approach to managing the growing complexity of global challenges.

Future Vision: The successful deployment of HeDA demonstrates that AI systems can transcend traditional roles as research assistants to become autonomous discovery agents capable of generating genuinely novel scientific insights with quantifiable impact. This capability becomes increasingly critical as the pace of knowledge generation accelerates exponentially and the complexity of global challenges demands integrated, cross-disciplinary understanding that exceeds human cognitive capacity for comprehensive synthesis. HeDA represents a foundational step toward AI systems that can autonomously advance scientific knowledge and inform evidence-based policy in an era of unprecedented global complexity.

Funding

This work was supported by the Innovation Practice Program for College Students of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Dr. Yong Ge and Xilin Wu from the Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for their invaluable guidance and support.

References

- [1] J. M. Robine, S. L. K. Cheung, S. Le Roy, H. Van Oyen, C. Griffiths, J. P. Michel, and F. R. Herrmann. Death toll exceeded 70,000 in europe during the summer of 2003. *Comptes Rendus. Biologies*, 331(2):171–178, 2008.
- [2] R. García-Herrera, J. Díaz, R. M. Trigo, J. Luterbacher, and E. M. Fischer. A review of the european summer heat wave of 2003. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 40(4):267–306, 2010.
- [3] M. Beniston. The 2003 heat wave in europe: A shape of things to come? an analysis based on swiss climatological data and model simulations. *Geophysical research letters*, 31(2), 2004.
- [4] E. Black, M. Blackburn, G. Harrison, B. Hoskins, and J. Methven. Factors contributing to the summer 2003 european heatwave. *Weather*, 59(8):217–223, 2004.
- [5] R. H. White, S. Anderson, J. F. Booth, G. Braich, C. Draeger, C. Fei, ..., and G. West. The unprecedented pacific northwest heatwave of june 2021. *Nature communications*, 14(1):727, 2023.

- [6] S. Saki, G. Sofia, B. Kar, and E. Anagnostou. A multi-year analysis of the impact of heatwaves and compound weather events on power outages. *Scientific Reports*, 15(1):30846, 2025.
- [7] K. L. Ebi. Understanding the risks of compound climate events and cascading risks. *Dialogues on Climate Change*, 2(1):33–37, 2025.
- [8] A. Al Khourdajie. The role of artificial intelligence in climate change scientific assessments. *PLOS Climate*, 4(9):e0000706, 2025.
- [9] V. Ramachandra. Artificial intelligence in climate science: A state-of-the-art review (2020–2025), 2025.
- [10] M. Reichstein, V. Benson, J. Blunk, G. Camps-Valls, F. Creutzig, C. J. Fearnley, and K. Weldemariam. Early warning of complex climate risk with integrated artificial intelligence. *Nature Communications*, 16(1):2564, 2025.
- [11] C. Peng, F. Xia, M. Naseriparsa, and F. Osborne. Knowledge graphs: Opportunities and challenges. *Artificial intelligence review*, 56(11):13071–13102, 2023.
- [12] G. L. Garcia, J. R. R. Manesco, P. H. Paiola, L. Miranda, M. P. de Salvo, and J. P. Papa. A review on scientific knowledge extraction using large language models in biomedical sciences. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.03531*, 2024.
- [13] J. Dagdelen, A. Dunn, S. Lee, N. Walker, A. S. Rosen, G. Ceder, ..., and A. Jain. Structured information extraction from scientific text with large language models. *Nature communications*, 15(1):1418, 2024.
- [14] D. Wang, S. Zhou, C. Zhou, K. Liang, C. Wang, and J. Huang. Complex knowledge base question answering with difficulty-aware active data augmentation. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 280:127460, 2025.
- [15] S. Jiao, Z. Zhu, J. Qi, F. Xu, H. Pei, W. Wang, ..., and P. Liu. A relation embedding assistance networks for multi-hop question answering. *ACM Transactions on Asian and Low-Resource Language Information Processing*, 23(2):1–17, 2024.
- [16] J. Wang, W. Li, F. Liu, B. Sheng, W. Liu, and Q. Jin. Hic-KGQA: Improving multi-hop question answering over knowledge graph via hypergraph and inference chain. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 277:110810, 2023.
- [17] F. Atif, O. El Khatib, and D. Difallah. Beamqa: Multi-hop knowledge graph question answering with sequence-to-sequence prediction and beam search. In *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 781–790, July 2023.
- [18] G. He, Y. Lan, J. Jiang, W. X. Zhao, and J. R. Wen. Improving multi-hop knowledge base question answering by learning intermediate supervision signals. In *Proceedings of the 14th ACM international conference on web search and data mining*, pages 553–561, March 2021.
- [19] L. Ding, N. Ding, Q. Tao, and P. Shi. Enhancing graph multi-hop reasoning for question answering with llms: An approach based on adaptive path generation. *Journal of Intelligent Information Systems*, pages 1–31, 2025.
- [20] N. P. Simpson, K. J. Mach, A. Constable, J. Hess, R. Hogarth, M. Howden, R. Jones, V. Martín-Mateo, E. L. D. S. Måsviken, Z. Zommers, and C. H. Trisos. A framework for complex climate change risk assessment. *One Earth*, 4(4):489–501, 2021.
- [21] N. P. Simpson, E. Sparkes, M. de Ruiter, R. Š. Trogrlić, M. V. Passos, J. Schlumberger, R. Mechler, F. Wenzel, and S. Hochrainer-Stigler. Advances in complex climate change risk assessment for adaptation. *npj Climate Action*, 4(1):74, 2025.
- [22] J. Wei, Y. Yang, X. Zhang, Y. Chen, X. Zhuang, Z. Gao, and B. Zhou. From ai for science to agentic science: A survey on autonomous scientific discovery. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2508.14111*, 2025.
- [23] T. Hartung. AI, Agentic Models and Lab Automation for Scientific Discovery—the beginning of scAIInce. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 8:1649155, 2025.

- [24] L. G. Neuberg. Causality: models, reasoning, and inference, by judea pearl, cambridge university press, 2000.
Econometric Theory, 19(4):675–685, 2003.
- [25] J. Pearl and D. Mackenzie. *The book of why: the new science of cause and effect*. Basic books, 2018.